

വിവരാവകാശ അപേക്ഷയിൽ എന്തെങ്കിലും അവ്യക്തത ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അപേക്ഷ നിരസിക്കുന്നതിന് പകരം ആ ന്യൂനത പരിഹരിക്കുവാൻ കഴിയുന്നതാണോ എന്ന് പരിശോധിക്കുവാൻ വകുപ്പ് 5(3) ലെ വ്യവസ്ഥകൾ പ്രകാരം പബ്ലിക് ഇൻഫർമേഷൻ ഓഫീസർക്ക് ബാധ്യതയുണ്ട്

But the SPIO informed the petitioner that he could not understand the language used by him. If there was any doubt regarding the request in the RTI application it could have been rectified as prescribed u/s 5(3) of the RTI Act. But the SPIO denied information. He did not act diligently.

8. Therefore, the Commission, order that the information requested by the petitioner should be provided to him within seven days of receipt of this order free of cost u/s 7(6) of the RTI Act and report compliance to the Commission. The Commission also observe that the SPIO, Sri. failed to provide correct information to the petitioner. Therefore, the Commission order to issue notice u/s 20(1) of the RTI Act to the SPIO & District Project Officer, Sarva Siksha Abhayan, and to the Section Clerk (Deemed SPIO) Sri. u/s 5(5) of the RTI Act for not providing correct information to the petitioner. They should submit their explanation within seven days of receipt of the notice.

The complaint petition is disposed off, accordingly.

Dated this, the 19th day of January, 2011.